

# Scientific appraisal of Research synopsis

This form is to be filled by the postgraduates/research scholar as well as guide and submitted along with their synopsis/research protocol to Institutional Research Committee for Scientific

appraisal and approval. The synopsis shall be accepted for approval of **Institutional Ethical Committee** only after approval of **Institutional Research & Advisory Committee**. The research proposal must accompanied with all enclosures as mentioned in research proposal. The Research Scholar, Guide and members of the Institutional Research committee are required to submit this form for grading the level of quantitative appraisal as one of the conditions of DSIR recognition guidelines. This form is designed to collect quantitative data for rating of the research proposal. It is advisable to submit this form after appraisal of each research proposal during meeting of **Institutional Research & Advisory Committee**. This form is real-time input for immediate appraisal quantitative outcome to decide the approval or modification or rejection of synopsis. Maximum Score for the appraisal for approval is 30, for modification-20-29, and for rejection 0-19. This initiative for quality improvement is approved by Management committee vide resolution No. 7.8-2/2023 and implemented w.e.f. 2023-24.

---

\* Indicates required question

1. Name of Research Scholar/postgraduate \*

---

2. Year of Admission to Program \*

---

*Example: January 7, 2019*

3. Name of Guide/Principal Investigator \*

---

4. Title of Research Proposal \*

---

5. Name of Program to which admitted \*

---

6. Does your research question As per FINER criterion \*

*Check all that apply.*

- Yes
- No
- Member of IRC agreed
- Member of IRC did not agree

7. \*

Does your research question framed as PICO criterion?

*Check all that apply.*

- Yes
- No
- Member of IRC Agreed
- Members of IRC did not agree

8. Is your study questionnaire validated if applicable? \*

*Mark only one oval.*

- Yes
- No
- Member of IRC agreed
- Member of IRC did not agree

9. Are Objectives of the Study being measurable? \*

*Check all that apply.*

- Yes
- No
- Member of IRC agreed
- Member of IRC did not agree

10. Is Methodology being clear and standardized? \*

*Mark only one oval.*

- Yes
- No
- Member of IRC agreed
- Member of IRC did not agree

11. Is the background information and data being sufficient? \*

*Check all that apply.*

- Yes
- No
- Member of IRC agreed
- Member of IRC did not agree

12. Have You specified the Gap of knowledge in review of literature. \*

*Mark only one oval.*

- Yes
- No
- Member of IRC Agree
- Member of IRC did not agree

13. The proposal is accompanied with plagiarism check report? \*

*Check all that apply.*

- Yes
- No
- Member of IRC agreed
- Member of IRC did not agree

14. Is the risk and benefit assessment is carried out? \*

*Check all that apply.*

- Yes
- No
- Member of IRC agreed
- Member of IRC did not agree

15. Is the risk and benefit assessment being acceptable as per the existing law? \*

*Check all that apply.*

- Yes
- No
- Member of IRC agreed
- Member of IRC did not agree

16. Inclusion Criteria is Specifically defined? \*

*Check all that apply.*

- Yes
- No
- Member of IRC agreed
- Member of IRC did not agree

17. Exclusion Criteria is defined specifically? \*

*Check all that apply.*

- Yes
- No
- Member of IRC agreed
- Member of IRC did not agree

18. Is the number of subjects proposed in the study are justified for statistical analysis. \*

*Check all that apply.*

- Yes
- No
- Member of IRC agreed
- Member of IRC did not agree

19. Feasibility of study with regards to Facilities and infrastructure available at the place of study has been done? \*

*Check all that apply.*

- Yes
- No
- Member of IRC agreed
- Member of IRC did not agree

20. Steps for maintaining the confidentiality of personal information of research subject have been undertaken? \*

*Check all that apply.*

- Yes
- No
- Member of IRC agreed
- Member of IRC did not agree

21. Is the presentation and framework of the proposed study is as per the principles of Research Methodology guidelines of statutory authority. \*

*Check all that apply.*

- Yes
- No
- Member of IRC agreed
- Member of IRC did not agree

22. Appropriate caution has been documented while designing the research protocol to conduct of medical research to ensure no harm to the subjects or environment is caused. \*

*Check all that apply.*

- Yes
- No
- Member of IRC agreed
- Member of IRC did not agree

23. Careful assessment of predictable risks and burdens to the subjects and communities involved in the research in comparison with proposed benefits to them as well as to other individuals or communities has been done. \*

*Mark only one oval.*

- Yes
- No
- Member of IRC agreed
- Member of IRC did not agree

24. Risks involved to the participants of the study or community have been adequately identified and assessed, so that this be managed satisfactorily. \*

*Check all that apply.*

- Yes
- No
- Member of IRC agreed
- Member of IRC did not agree

25. Importance of the objective outweighs the inherent risks and burdens to the research subjects is analyzed? \*

*Check all that apply.*

- Yes
- No
- Member of IRC agreed
- Member of IRC did not agree

26. Precaution has been taken to protect the privacy of research participants and the confidentiality of their personal information? \*

*Check all that apply.*

- Yes
- No
- Member of IRC agreed
- Member of IRC did not agree

27. Precaution has been taken to minimize the impact of the study on their physical, mental, and social integrity? \*

*Check all that apply.*

- Yes  
 No  
 Member of IRC agreed  
 Member of IRC did not agree

28. Does the expected outcomes of the research project have translational Value? \*

*Check all that apply.*

- Yes  
 No  
 Member of IRC Agreed  
 Member of IRC did not agree

29. You have attached statement of Research on "how your research would have value addition to (a) existing knowledge, (b) quality of education, (c) quality of life of society and (d) advances in medical sciences is enclosed? \*

*Check all that apply.*

- Yes  
 No  
 Member of IRC Agreed  
 Member of IRC did not agree

30. The IRC Committee Approved the Proposal \*

*Check all that apply.*

- Yes  
 Advised modification in the present proposal  
 No, Advised to Submit Fresh Proposal

31. Name & suggestion of Member of Institutional Research Committee \*

---



32. Name & suggestion of Member Secretary of Institutional Research Committee \*

---

33. Name & remark of Chairman/members of Institutional Research Committee \*

---

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms